1 2	DENNIS MOSS (Cal. State Bar No. 077512) GREGORY N. KARASIK (Cal. State Bar No. 115834) SPIRO MOSS BARNESS HARRISON & BARGE LLP				
3	11377 W. Olympic Blvd., Fifth Floor Los Angeles, CA 90064-1683				
4	Telephone: (310) 235-2468 Facsimile: (310) 235-2456				
5	dennisfmoss@yahoo.com gkarasik@smbhblaw.com				
6	PETER M. HART (Cal. State Bar No. 198691)				
7	LAW OFFICES OF PETER M. HART 13952 Bora Bora Way, F-320				
	Marina Del Rey, CA 90292				
8	Telephone: (310) 478-5789 Facsimile: (509) 561-6441				
9	hartpeter@msn.com				
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff DONNA CATHERINE WONG				
11	JEFFREY D. WOHL (Cal. State Bar No. 96838)				
12	JULIE A. WILKINSON (Cal. State Bar No. 209180) RISHI N. SHARMA (Cal. State Bar No. 239034)				
13	PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP				
14	55 Second Street, 24th Floor San Francisco, California 94105-3441				
15	Telephone: (415) 856-7000 Facsimile: (415) 856-7100				
16	jeffwohl@paulhastings.com				
	juliewilkinson@paulhastings.com rishisharma@paulhastings.com				
17	Attorneys for Defendant				
18	TARGET CORPORATION				
19	UNITED ST	ATES DISTRICT COURT			
20	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
21	DONNA CATHERINE WONG,	No. C-06-CV-05398 WDB			
22	individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	REVISED STIPULATION FOR			
23	Plaintiff,	PROTECTIVE ORDER REGARDING CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION;			
24	vs.	[PROPOSED] ORDER ADOPTING SAME			
25	TARGET CORPORATION, a Delaware				
26	corporation; and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,				
27	Defendant.				
28					

2

45

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

1314

15

16 17

18

19

2021

2223

2425

26

2728

STIPULATION

Plaintiff Donna Catherine Wong and defendant Target Corporation ("Target") (collectively referred to in this agreement as the "Parties"), acting through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

- 1. Target has produced or will produce, in connection with discovery proceedings in this action, information and/or documents consisting of or referencing confidential information, including but not necessarily limited to documents and information evidencing, relating to and referring to Target's Vacation Plan, and certain financial information and/or documents relating to the same.
- 2. The Parties anticipate that plaintiff may already have, or may seek discovery of, information and/or documents relating to Target's business operations, including information and/or documents relating to Target's Vacation Plan, the disclosure of which Target claims may adversely affect Target's ability to compete.
- 3. The information and/or documents referenced in paragraphs 1 and 2 are called "CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION" in this agreement.
- 4. If CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION has already been or has yet to be produced in discovery, the Party who wishes to so designate it will do so by means of written notice given to the other Party as soon as is practicable in connection with its production, including but not limited marking the document "CONFIDENTIAL".
- 5. Material sought to be protected as CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION must be properly subject to protection pursuant to this Stipulation and Order and the standards developed under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c), and counsel must not designate any discovery material CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION without first making a good-faith determination that protection is warranted.
- 6. To safeguard the confidentiality of CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION, it will be maintained as set forth in this agreement.
- 7. CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION will be used by the Parties solely for the purposes of the prosecution and/or defense in this action.
- 8. The Parties will not disclose CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION in any manner to anyone other than the following, who will agree to maintain its confidentiality:

- a. counsel of record for the Parties in this action, including in-house counsel, who are actively engaged in the conduct of this litigation, and the partners, associates, secretaries, paralegal assistants, and employees of such counsel to the extent reasonably necessary to render professional services in the litigation;
- court officials involved in this litigation (including court reporters, persons operating video recording equipment at depositions, and any special master appointed by the Court);
- c. plaintiff, but only to the extent that she remains the representative plaintiff in this action and remains bound to this Confidentiality Agreement;
- d. witnesses, including non-party witnesses, in preparation for, and in the course of, depositions or interviews, or at trial, if, in the reasonable good faith opinion of the Parties' counsel, examination with respect to such information is necessary for legitimate discovery or trial purposes;
- e. outside consultants or experts assisting counsel in the effort to settle this action or to prosecute this action;
- f. any neutral person who may be selected by the parties to preside over their private mediation or early neutral evaluation;
- g. third-party contractors involved solely in one or more aspects of organizing, filing, coding, converting, storing, or retrieving data or designing programs for handling data connected with this action, including the performance of such duties in relation to a computerized litigation support system; and to the employees of third-party contractors performing one or more of these functions;
- h. officers and directors of Target;
- any person designated by the Court in the interest of justice, upon such terms as the Court may deem proper; and
- i. as a court filing subject to this agreement.
- 9. In the event that a Party challenges the designation of information and/or documents as CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION, that Party must do so in good faith and will so notify the other

Party in writing. The burden will then fall on the Party making the designation to obtain an order of the				
Court upholding the designation. The designation made by the Party will be respected by the other				
Party, and the information and/or documents designated CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION will not be				
used in a manner inconsistent with this agreement, until either (a) 15 days pass after that other Party				
gave notice of the challenge to the designation, and the Party making the designation fails to file a				
motion for an order of the Court upholding the designation; or (b) a motion is denied by the Court.				

- 10. In the event that a Party seeks to file with the Court a document containing or constituting CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION, that Party will first file the document under seal pursuant to United States District Court of California, Northern District Local Rule 79-5. If the Court refuses to uphold the confidentiality requested or accept the documents as filed, the documents may be filed.
 - 11. Entering into, agreeing to and/or complying with the terms of this agreement will not:
 - a. prejudice in any way the right of any Party to object to the production of documents or information it considers to be protected from disclosure during discovery or to seek a Court determination whether particular designated material should be produced;
 - prejudice in any way the right of any Party to apply to the Court to rescind or modify the terms of this Confidentiality Agreement or to move the Court for a protective order;
 - c. prejudice in any way the right of any Party to use, or object to the use of, any CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION at any hearing or at trial;
 - d. affect the obligations of any Party or person to comply with the terms of any compulsory process; or
 - e. be construed as a waiver by either Party of any discovery objection.
- 12. This agreement will be effective from the date on which it is signed by counsel for the Parties, and shall remain in effect even after the final termination of this litigation.
- 13. The Parties and their counsel are responsible for employing reasonable measures to control, consistent with this agreement, duplication of, access to, discussion of and distribution of copies of CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION, including obtaining enforceable non-disclosure agreements

Cascase 6:06-0539989900 DBD 6000000 erretr2123 Filled 1111/222/2000 6 Page 5 eo 5 7 of 7

1	from any third party, authorized to re-	ceive CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION pursuant to this			
2	agreement, to whom CONFIDENTIAL IN	FORMATION is disclosed.			
3	14. This agreement may be signed in counterpart originals, with each having the same effect				
4	as a single original.				
5	Dated: November, 2006.	DENNIS MOSS			
6		GREGORY N. KARASIK SPIRO MOSS BARNESS HARRISON & BARGE LLP			
7		PETER M. HART LAW OFFICES OF PETER M. HART			
8		LAW OFFICES OF FETER WI. HART			
9		By:Gregory N. Karasik			
10		Attorneys for Plaintiff Donna Catherine Wong			
11		Donna Cathernic Wong			
12	Dated: November <u>22</u> , 2006.	JEFFREY D. WOHL JULIE A. WILKINSON			
13		RISHI N. SHARMA PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP			
14					
15		By:/s/ Jeffrey D. Wohl			
16		Attorneys for Defendant Target Corporation			
17					
18					
19					
2021					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
		A STIPULATION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER, ORDER			

Cascaste 6:06-053999998DBD Document 12:123 Filed 1111/222/2000 6 Page 6 eo 6 7 of 7

1	from any third party, authorized to re	eceive CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION pursuant to this			
2	agreement, to whom CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION is disclosed.				
3	14. This agreement may be sig	gned in counterpart originals, with each having the same effect			
4	as a single original.				
5	Dated: November 22, 2006.	DENNIS MOSS GREGORY N. KARASIK			
6		SPIRO MOSS BARNESS HARRISON & BARGE LLP			
7		PETER M. HART LAW OFFICES OF PETER M. HART			
8					
9		By: Offegory N. Karasik			
10		Attorneys for Plaintiff Donna Catherine Wong			
11	D . 1 . V . 1	TEXTED EXT D. HIGH			
12 13	Dated: November, 2006.	JEFFREY D. WOHL JULIE A. WILKINSON RISHI N. SHARMA			
14		PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP			
15		By:			
16		Jeffrey D. Wohl Attorneys for Defendant			
17		Target Corporation			
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
2728					
40		4 STIPULATION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER, ORDER 4 LLS D.C. N.D. Col. No. C. 06 CV 05208 WDE			
	LEGAL_US_W # 54765404.3	4 U.S.D.C., N.D. Cal., NoC-06-CV-05398-WDE			

On the stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing therefor, SO ORDERED. Dated: 11/27/ , 2006.	1			<u>ORDER</u>
Dated: 11/27/ , 2006. Wayne D. Brazil United States Magistrate Judge Variable Varia	2	On the stipulation of	the parties, and goo	od cause appearing therefor,
Dated: 1112111 , 2006. Wayne D. Brazil United States Magistrate Judge Wayne D. Brazil United States Magistrate Judge Wayne D. Brazil United States Magistrate Judge Report of the state	3	SO ORDERED.		
Wayne D. Brazil United States Magistrate Judge In the state of the states of the stat	4	Dated:	, 2006.	
6	5			Wayne D. Brazil United States Magistrate Judge
8 9 9 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 127 128 129 129 129 129 129 129 129 129 129 129	6			
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	7			
10	8			
11	9			
12	10			
13	11			
14 15 16 17 18 19 10 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 18 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	12			
15 16 17 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	13			
166	14			
17	15			
18	16			
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	17			
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	18			
21	19			
22 23 24 25 26 27	20			
23 24 25 26 27	21			
24 25 26 27	22			
25 26 27	23			
26 27	24			
27	25			
	26			
	27			
	28			CTIDILI ATION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER ORDER